

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
555 12<sup>TH</sup> STREET, SUITE 1725  
OAKLAND, CA 94607  
TEL: (510) 891-9800

1 Scott Edward Cole, Esq. (S.B. #160744)  
Laura Grace Van Note, Esq. (S.B. #310160)  
2 **SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC**  
555 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1725  
3 Oakland, California 94607  
Telephone: (510) 891-9800  
4 Facsimile: (510) 891-7030  
Email: scole@scalaw.com  
5 Email: lvannote@scalaw.com  
Web: [www.scalaw.com](http://www.scalaw.com)

6  
7 Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff  
and the Plaintiff Class

**ELECTRONICALLY FILED**  
Superior Court of California,  
County of San Diego  
**10/10/2019** at 08:47:08 PM  
Clerk of the Superior Court  
By Jacqueline J. Walters, Deputy Clerk

8 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

9 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

10  
11 ERICA LEE, individually, and on behalf of  
all others similarly situated,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 DALLO & CO, INC., and DOES 1 through  
15 100, inclusive,

16 Defendant.

Case No. 37-2019-00053934-CU-OE-CTL

**INDIVIDUAL AND CLASS ACTION**

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, INJUNCTIVE  
RELIEF AND RESTITUTION**

**[JURY TRIAL DEMANDED]**

17  
18 Representative Plaintiff alleges as follows:

19 **INTRODUCTION**

20 1. This is an individual and class action seeking unpaid regular and overtime wages,  
21 including unpaid compensation for meal and/or rest period violations, interest thereon, liquidated  
22 damages and other penalties, injunctive and other equitable relief, and reasonable attorneys' fees  
23 and costs under, *inter alia*, California Labor Code §§ 98.6, 200-204, inclusive, 226, 226.7, 226.8,  
24 510, 512, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1198, 2802, California Business and Professions  
25 Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* and California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5. Plaintiff Erica Lee  
26 ("Representative Plaintiff" or "Plaintiff") brings this action on behalf of herself and all other  
27 persons similarly situated ("Class Members" and/or the "Plaintiff Class") who are or have been  
28

1 employed by defendant Dallo & Co., Inc. d/b/a Foodland Market (“Defendant”) as non-exempt  
2 employees within the State of California within the applicable class period.

3 2. For the class allegations, the period is designated as the time from October 8, 2015  
4 through trial, based upon the allegation that the violations of California’s wage and hour laws, as  
5 described more fully below, have been ongoing throughout that time.

6 3. During the class period, Defendant has had a consistent policy of (1) unlawfully  
7 denying Plaintiff and Class Members statutorily-mandated meal and rest periods, (2) willfully  
8 failing to provide Plaintiff and Class Members with accurate semimonthly itemized wage  
9 statements reflecting the total number of hours each worked, the applicable deductions, and the  
10 applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and (3) willfully failing to pay compensation  
11 in a prompt and timely manner to Plaintiff and those Class Members whose employment with  
12 Defendant has terminated.

13 4. Defendant operates a chain of grocery stores within California for which  
14 Representative Plaintiff worked as a non-exempt employee. The Representative Plaintiff is  
15 informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that, within the Class Period, Defendant employed  
16 dozens of individuals in California in recent years to work in its stores, employment positions  
17 which did not, and currently do not, meet any known test for exemption from the payment of  
18 overtime wages and/or the entitlement to meal or rest periods.

19 5. Despite actual knowledge of these facts and legal mandates, Defendant has and  
20 continues to enjoy an advantage over its competition and a resultant disadvantage to its workers  
21 by electing not to pay all wages due (missed meal and rest period compensation) and/or all  
22 penalties due (including “waiting time” penalties) to its California based non-exempt employees.

23 6. Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that  
24 Defendant’s officers knew of these facts and legal mandates yet, nonetheless, repeatedly  
25 authorized and/or ratified the violation of the laws cited herein.

26 7. Despite Defendant’s knowledge of Class Members’ entitlement to meal and/or rest  
27 periods for all applicable work periods, Defendant failed to provide the same to Class Members,  
28

1 in violation of California state statutes, the applicable California Industrial Welfare Commission  
2 Wage Order, and Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations.

3 8. This action is brought to redress and end this prolonged pattern of unlawful conduct  
4 once and for all.

5 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6 9. This Court has jurisdiction over the Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members'  
7 claims for unpaid wages, expenses and/or penalties under, *inter alia*, the applicable Industrial  
8 Welfare Commission Wage Order, Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations, various sections  
9 of the California Labor Code, and/or the California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

10 10. Additionally, this Court has jurisdiction over the Representative Plaintiff's and  
11 Class Members' claims for injunctive relief and restitution of ill-gotten benefits arising from  
12 Defendant's unfair and/or fraudulent business practices under California Business & Professions  
13 Code § 17200, *et seq.*

14 11. Finally, this Court has jurisdiction over the Representative Plaintiff's individual  
15 claims for damages and penalties.

16 12. Venue as to Defendant is proper in this judicial district pursuant to California Code  
17 of Civil Procedure § 395(a). Defendant owns and operates a chain of grocery stores within the  
18 County of San Diego (where Plaintiff and numerous Class Members worked), transacts business,  
19 has agents, and is otherwise within this Court's jurisdiction for purposes of service of process. The  
20 unlawful acts alleged herein have and have had a direct effect on Representative Plaintiff and those  
21 similarly situated within the State of California and within the County of San Diego.

22 **PLAINTIFF**

23 13. Representative Plaintiff Erica Lee is a natural person who was employed by  
24 Defendant as a non-exempt worker during the Class Period.

25 14. In these capacities, Representative Plaintiff is and was entitled to full, uninterrupted  
26 and statutorily-mandated meal and rest periods, as well as other benefits of employment as set  
27 forth herein.

28

**DEFENDANT**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

15. Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that, at all times herein relevant, defendant Dallo & Co., Inc. d/b/a Foodland Market did business within the State of California operating a chain of grocery stores.

16. Those defendants identified as Does 1 through 100, inclusive, are and were, at all relevant times herein-mentioned, officers, directors, partners, and/or managing agents of some or each of the remaining defendants. Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that at all relevant times herein mentioned, Dallo & Co., Inc. d/b/a Foodland Market and those identified as Does 1 through 100, inclusive, employed, and/or exercised control over the wages, hours, and/or working conditions of the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members within the State of California.

17. The Representative Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities of those defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 100, inclusive and, therefore, sues these defendants by such fictitious names. The Representative Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint when such names are ascertained. The Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that each of the fictitiously-named defendants was responsible in some manner for, gave consent to, ratified, and/or authorized the conduct herein alleged and that the Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' damages, as herein alleged, were proximately caused thereby.

18. Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that, at all relevant times herein mentioned, each of the defendants was the agent and/or employee of each of the remaining defendants and, in doing the acts herein alleged, was acting within the course and scope of such agency and/or employment.

**CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

19. The Representative Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and as a class action on behalf of all persons similarly situated and proximately damaged by Defendant's conduct including, but not necessarily limited to, the following Plaintiff Class:

1 “All persons employed by Defendant as a non-exempt employee in  
2 California at any time on or after October 7, 2015”

3 20. Defendant’s officers and directors are excluded from the Plaintiff Class.

4 21. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a class action  
5 under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 because there is a well-defined community of  
6 interest in the litigation and the proposed Class is easily ascertainable.

7 a. Numerosity: A class action is the only available method for the fair  
8 and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The members of the  
9 Plaintiff Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is  
10 impractical, if not impossible, insofar as Representative Plaintiff is  
11 informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that there are  
12 sufficient Class Members to meet the numerosity requirement.  
13 Membership in the Class will be determined upon analysis of  
14 employee and payroll, among other, records maintained by  
15 Defendant.

16 b. Commonality: The Representative Plaintiff and the Class Members  
17 share a community of interests in that there are numerous common  
18 questions and issues of fact and law which predominate over any  
19 questions and issues solely affecting individual members, including,  
20 but not necessarily limited to:

- 21 1) Whether Defendant violated California Business and  
22 Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.* by failing to provide meal  
23 and/or rest breaks to Class Members working eligible shifts;
- 24 2) Whether Defendant violated California Labor Code § 1174 by  
25 failing to keep accurate records of employees’ hours of work;
- 26 3) Whether Defendant violated California Labor Code §§ 201-204  
27 by failing to pay wages due and owing at the time that certain  
28 Class Members’ employment with Defendant terminated;
- 1) Whether Defendant violated California Labor Code § 226 by  
failing to provide semimonthly itemized statements to Class  
Members of total hours worked by each and all applicable  
hourly rates in effect during the pay period; and
- 5) Whether Class Members are entitled to “waiting time”  
penalties, pursuant to California Labor Code § 203.

c. Typicality: The Representative Plaintiff’s claims are typical of the  
claims of Class Members. The Representative Plaintiff and Class  
Members sustained damages arising out of and caused by  
Defendant’s common course of conduct in violation of law, as  
alleged herein.

d. Adequacy of Representation: The Representative Plaintiff in this  
class action is an adequate representative of the Plaintiff Class in  
that the Representative Plaintiff’s claims are typical of those of the

1 Plaintiff Class and the Representative Plaintiff has the same interest  
2 in the litigation of this case as the Class Members. The  
3 Representative Plaintiff is committed to vigorous prosecution of this  
4 case and has retained competent counsel who are experienced in  
5 conducting litigation of this nature. The Representative Plaintiff is  
6 not subject to any individual defenses unique from those  
7 conceivably applicable to Class Members as a whole. The  
8 Representative Plaintiff anticipates no management difficulties in  
9 this litigation.

6 e. Superiority of Class Action: Since the damages suffered by  
7 individual Class Members, while not inconsequential, may be  
8 relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation by  
9 each member makes or may make it impractical for Class Members  
10 to seek redress individually for the wrongful conduct alleged herein.  
11 Should separate actions be brought, or be required to be brought, by  
12 each individual Class Member, the resulting multiplicity of lawsuits  
13 would cause undue hardship and expense for the Court and the  
14 litigants. The prosecution of separate actions would also create a risk  
15 of inconsistent rulings which might be dispositive of the interests of  
16 other Class Members who are not parties to the adjudications and/or  
17 may substantially impede their ability to adequately protect their  
18 interests.

### 13 COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

14 22. As described herein, for years, Defendant has knowingly failed to adequately  
15 compensate those employees within the class definition identified above for all wages earned  
16 (including premium wages such as compensation for missed meal and/or rest periods) under the  
17 California Labor Code and the applicable IWC Wage Order, thereby enjoying a significant  
18 competitive edge over other service providers.

19 23. Defendant has declined to pay these wages, even upon a Class Member's  
20 termination or resignation from employment, in blatant violation of California Labor Code §§ 201  
21 and/or 202.

22 24. California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 require Defendant to pay severed employees  
23 all wages due and owed to the employee immediately upon discharge or within 72 hours of  
24 resignation of their positions, in most circumstances. California Labor Code § 203 provides that  
25 an employer who willfully fails to timely pay such wages must, as a penalty, continue to pay the  
26 subject employees' wages until the back wages are paid in full or an action is commenced, and the  
27 payment of such penalty shall continue for a period of time up to 30 days.

28

1           25.     Furthermore, despite its knowledge of the Representative Plaintiff's and the Class  
2 Members' entitlement to compensation for all hours worked, Defendant violated California Labor  
3 Code § 1174(d) by failing to provide or require the use, maintenance, or submission of time records  
4 by members of the Plaintiff Class. Defendant also failed to provide the Representative Plaintiff  
5 and Class Members with accurate semimonthly itemized statements of the total number of hours  
6 worked by each, and all applicable hourly rates in effect, during the pay period, in violation of  
7 California Labor Code § 226. In failing to provide the required documents, Defendant has not only  
8 failed to pay its workers the full amount of compensation due, but the company has also, until now,  
9 effectively shielded itself from its employees' scrutiny by concealing the magnitude and financial  
10 impact of its wrongdoing that such documents might otherwise have led workers to discover.

11           26.     Representative Plaintiff and all persons similarly situated are entitled to unpaid  
12 compensation, yet, to date, have not received such compensation despite many of the same having  
13 been terminated by and/or resigned from Defendant's employ. More than 30 days have passed  
14 since certain Class Members have left Defendant's employ.

15           27.     As a consequence of Defendant's willful conduct in not paying former employees  
16 compensation for all hours worked in a prompt and timely manner, certain Class Members are  
17 entitled to up to 30 days wages as a penalty under California Labor Code § 203, together with  
18 attorneys' fees and costs.

19           28.     As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth  
20 herein, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have sustained damages, as described above,  
21 including compensation for loss of earnings for hours worked on behalf of Defendant, in an amount  
22 to be established at trial. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct,  
23 as set forth herein, certain Class Members are entitled to recover "waiting time" penalties (pursuant  
24 to California Labor Code § 203) and penalties for failure to provide semimonthly statements of  
25 hours worked and all applicable hourly rates (pursuant to California Labor Code § 226) in an  
26 amount to be established at trial. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful  
27 conduct, as set forth herein, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members are also entitled to recover  
28

1 costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, among other  
2 authorities.

3 29. Representative Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from  
4 engaging in the complained-of illegal labor acts and practices in the future. Representative Plaintiff  
5 also seeks restitution of costs incurred by Representative Plaintiff and Class Members under  
6 California's Unfair Competition Law. Unless enjoined, Defendant's unlawful conduct will  
7 continue unchecked, while Representative Plaintiff and Class Members bear the financial brunt of  
8 Defendant's unlawful conduct. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful  
9 conduct, as set forth herein, Representative Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class are also entitled to  
10 recover costs and attorneys' fees, pursuant to statute.

11 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
12 **UNLAWFUL FAILURE TO PAY WAGES**  
13 **(California Labor Code §§ 200-204, 510, 558, 1194, and 1198; IWC Wage Order(s))**

14 30. Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every allegation of the  
15 preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

16 31. During the limitations period, Plaintiff performed work for Defendant. The number  
17 of hours will be proven at trial.

18 32. During the limitations period, Defendant refused to compensate Plaintiff for all of  
19 the wages earned, in violation of the applicable IWC Wage Order(s) and provisions of the  
20 California Labor Code.

21 33. Defendant's conduct, as heretofore detailed, represents underpayment of wages  
22 pursuant to California Labor Code § 558 for which Plaintiff seeks damages and/or penalties  
23 according to proof.

24 34. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth  
25 herein, Plaintiff has sustained damages, including loss of earnings for hours worked on behalf of  
26 Defendant, in an amount to be established at trial. As a further direct and proximate result of  
27 Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth herein, Plaintiff is entitled to recover penalties in  
28 amounts to be established at trial, as well as attorneys' fees and costs, and restitution, pursuant to  
statute.



SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
555 12TH STREET, SUITE 1725  
OAKLAND, CA 94607  
TEL: (510) 891-9800

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL AND REST PERIODS**  
**(California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512)**

35. Representative Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

36. At all relevant times, Defendant was aware of and was under a duty to comply with California Labor Code § 226.7 and §512.

37. California Labor Code § 226.7 provides:

(a) No employer shall require any employee to work during any meal or rest period mandated by an applicable order of the Industrial Welfare Commission.

(b) If an employer fails to provide an employee a meal period or rest period in accordance with an applicable order of the Industrial Welfare Commission, the employer shall pay the employee one additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of compensation for each work day that the meal or rest period is not provided.

38. Moreover, California Labor Code § 512(a) provides:

An employer may not employ an employee for a work period of more than five hours per day without providing the employee with a meal period of not less than 30 minutes, except that if the total work period per day of the employee is no more than six hours, the meal period may be waived by mutual consent of both the employer and employee. An employer may not employ an employee for a work period of more than 10 hours per day without providing the employee with a second meal period of not less than 30 minutes, except that if the total hours worked is no more than 12 hours, the second meal period may be waived by mutual consent of the employer and the employee only if the first meal period was not waived.

39. Sections 11 and 12, respectively, of the applicable IWC Wage Order mandate that employers provide all applicable meal and/or rest periods to non-exempt (including exempt-misclassified) employees.

40. Section 11 of the applicable IWC Wage Order provides:

(A) No employer shall employ any person for a work period of more than five (5) hours without a meal period of not less than 30 minutes...

(B) An employer may not employ an employee for a work period of more than ten (10) hours per day without providing the

1 employee with a second meal period of not less than 30  
2 minutes...

3 (C) If an employer fails to provide an employee a meal period in  
4 accordance with the applicable provisions of this order, the  
5 employer shall pay the employee one (1) hour of pay at the  
6 employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that  
7 the meal period is not provided.

8 41. Moreover, Section 12 of the applicable IWC Wage Order provides:

9 (A) Every employer shall authorize and permit all employees to take  
10 rest periods, which insofar as practicable shall be in the middle  
11 of each work period. The authorized rest period time shall be  
12 based on the total hours worked daily at the rate of ten (10)  
13 minutes net rest time per four (4) hours or major fraction thereof  
14 ....

15 (B) If an employer fails to provide an employee a rest period in  
16 accordance with the applicable provisions of this order, the  
17 employer shall pay the employee one (1) hour of pay at the  
18 employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that  
19 the rest period is not provided.

20 42. By failing to consistently provide uninterrupted thirty-minute meal periods within  
21 the first five hours of work each day and/or uninterrupted net ten-minute rest periods to  
22 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant violated the California Labor Code and  
23 applicable IWC Wage Order provisions.

24 43. Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that  
25 Defendant has failed to pay the one hour of compensation to Class Member due to its violations  
26 of the California Labor Code and applicable IWC Wage Order provisions.

27 44. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth  
28 herein, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have sustained damages, including lost  
compensation resulting from missed meal and/or rest periods, in an amount to be established at  
trial.

As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set  
forth herein, certain Class Members are entitled to recover other penalties, in amounts to be  
established at trial, as well as recovery of attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to statute.

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
555 12TH STREET, SUITE 1725  
OAKLAND, CA 94607  
TEL: (510) 891-9800

1 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**  
2 **FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE ITEMIZED WAGE STATEMENTS**  
3 **(California Labor Code §§ 226 and 1174)**

4 46. Representative Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every  
5 allegation of the preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth  
6 herein.

7 47. California Labor Code § 226(a) provides:

8 Each employer shall semimonthly, or at the time of each payment of  
9 wages, furnish each of his or her employees either as a detachable  
10 part of the check, draft or voucher paying the employee's wages, or  
11 separately when wages are paid by personal check or cash, an  
12 itemized wage statement in writing showing: (1) gross wages  
13 earned; (2) total number of hours worked by each employee whose  
14 compensation is based on an hourly wage; (3) all deductions,  
15 provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee  
16 may be aggregated and shown as one item; (4) net wages earned; (5)  
17 the inclusive date of the period for which the employee is paid; (6)  
18 the name of the employee and his or her social security number; and  
19 (7) the name and address of the legal entity which is the employer.

20 48. Moreover, California Labor Code § 226(e) provides:

21 An employee suffering injury as a result of a knowing and  
22 intentional failure by an employer to comply with subdivision (a) is  
23 entitled to recover the greater of all actual damages or fifty dollars  
24 (\$50) for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs and one  
25 hundred dollars (\$100) per employee for each violation in a  
26 subsequent pay period, not exceeding an aggregate penalty of four  
27 thousand dollars (\$4,000), and is entitled to an award of costs and  
28 reasonable attorney's fees.

29 49. Finally, California Labor Code § 1174(d) provides:

30 Every person employing labor in this state shall . . . [k]eep, at a  
31 central location in the state...payroll records showing the hours  
32 worked daily by and the wages paid to...employees.... These records  
33 shall be kept in accordance with rules established for this purpose  
34 by the commission, but in any case shall be kept on file for not less  
35 than two years.

36 50. Representative Plaintiff seeks to recover actual damages, costs, and attorneys' fees  
37 under these provisions on behalf of herself and on behalf of all Class Members.

38 51. Defendant has failed to provide timely, accurate itemized wage statements to the  
39 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members in accordance with California Labor Code § 226.

1 Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that none of the  
2 statements provided by Defendant accurately reflected actual gross wages earned, net wages  
3 earned, or the appropriate deductions of such Class Members.

4 52. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth  
5 herein, the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have sustained damages in an amount to  
6 be established at trial and are entitled to recover attorneys' fees and costs of suit.

7 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**  
8 **FAILURE TO PAY WAGES ON TERMINATION**  
9 **(California Labor Code § 203)**

10 53. Representative Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every  
11 allegation of the preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth  
12 herein.

13 54. California Labor Code § 203 provides that:

14 If an employer willfully fails to pay, without abatement or reduction, in  
15 accordance with Sections 201, 201.5, 202, and 205.5, any wages of an  
16 employee who is discharged or who quits, the wages of the employee shall  
17 continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until paid or  
18 until an action therefor is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for  
19 more than 30 days.

20 55. Numerous Class Members were employed by Defendant during the class period  
21 and were thereafter terminated or resigned from their positions, yet they were not paid all premium  
22 (overtime) wages due upon said termination or within 72 hours of said resignation of employment  
23 therefrom. Said non-payment was the direct and proximate result of a willful refusal to do so by  
24 Defendant.

25 56. More than 30 days have elapsed since certain Class Members were involuntarily  
26 terminated or voluntarily resigned from Defendant's employ.

27 57. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's willful conduct in failing to pay  
28 said Class Members for all hours worked, affected Class Members are entitled to recover "waiting  
time" penalties of up to thirty days' wages pursuant to California Labor Code § 203 in an amount  
to be established at trial, together with interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs.

1 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**  
2 **UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES UNDER THE UNFAIR COMPETITION ACT**  
3 **(California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200-17208)**

4 58. Representative Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every  
5 allegation of the preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth  
6 herein.

7 59. Representative Plaintiff further brings this cause of action seeking equitable and  
8 statutory relief to stop Defendant's misconduct, as complained of herein, and to seek restitution of  
9 the amounts Defendant acquired through the unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business practices  
10 described herein.

11 60. Defendant's knowing conduct, as alleged herein, constitutes an unlawful and/or  
12 fraudulent business practice, as set forth in California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200-  
13 17208. Specifically, Defendant conducted business activities while failing to comply with the legal  
14 mandates cited herein.

15 61. Defendant has clearly established a policy of accepting a certain amount of  
16 collateral damage, as represented by the damages to the Representative Plaintiff and to Class  
17 Members herein alleged, as incidental to its business operations, rather than accept the alternative  
18 costs of full compliance with fair, lawful, and honest business practices, ordinarily borne by its  
19 responsible competitors and as set forth in legislation and the judicial record.

20 **RELIEF SOUGHT**

21 **WHEREFORE, the Representative Plaintiff**, on behalf of herself and the proposed  
22 Plaintiff Class, prays for judgment and the following specific relief against Defendant as follows:

23 1. That the Court declare, adjudge, and decree that this action is a proper class action  
24 and certify the proposed Class and/or any other appropriate subclasses under California Code of  
25 Civil Procedure § 382;

26 2. That the Court declare, adjudge, and decree that Defendant willfully violated its  
27 legal duties to pay all wages due under the California Labor Code and the applicable California  
28 Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders;

1           3.       That the Court make an award to the Representative Plaintiff and the Class  
2 Members of one hour of pay at each employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday  
3 that a meal period was not provided;

4           4.       That the Court make an award to the Representative Plaintiff and the Class  
5 Members of one hour of pay at each employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday  
6 that a rest period was not provided;

7           5.       That the Court Order Defendant to pay restitution to the Representative Plaintiff  
8 and the Class Members due to Defendant's unlawful activities, pursuant to California Business  
9 and Professions Code §§ 17200-17208;

10          6.       That the Court further enjoin Defendant, ordering it to cease and desist from  
11 unlawful activities in violation of California Business and Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.*

12          7.       For all other Orders, findings and determinations identified and sought in this  
13 Complaint;

14          8.       For interest on the amount of any and all economic losses, at the prevailing legal  
15 rate;

16          9.       That the Court make an award to Plaintiff of penalties, pursuant to California Labor  
17 Code §§ 203, 226, 558, and 1174.5, in an amount to be proven at trial;

18          10.      For reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §  
19 1021.5; and

20          11.      For costs of suit and any and all such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**JURY DEMAND**

1  
2 Representative Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Plaintiff Class, hereby demands a trial  
3 by jury.  
4

5 Dated: October 8, 2019

**SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC**

6  
7 By: *Laura Van Note*  
8 Laura Van Note, Esq.  
9 Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff  
10 and the Plaintiff Class  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
555 12<sup>TH</sup> STREET, SUITE 1725  
OAKLAND, CA 94607  
TEL: (510) 891-9800