

1 Scott Edward Cole, Esq. (S.B. #160744)  
2 Andrew Weaver, Esq. (S.B. #318935)  
3 **SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC**  
4 1970 Broadway, Ninth Floor  
5 Oakland, California 94612  
6 Telephone: (510) 891-9800  
7 Facsimile: (510) 891-7030  
8 Email: scole@scalaw.com  
9 Email: aweaver@scalaw.com  
10 Web: www.scalaw.com

11 Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff  
12 and the Plaintiff Class

13 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

14 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

15 JENNIFER FREEMAN, individually,  
16 and on behalf of all others similarly  
17 situated

18 Plaintiffs,

19 vs.

20 THE MINA GROUP, LLC, MG-101  
21 CAL, LLC and DOES 1 through 100,  
22 inclusive,

23 Defendant.

24 Case No.

CGC-18-567288

25 **CLASS ACTION**

26 **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES,  
27 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND RESTITUTION**

28 **[Jury Trial Demanded]**

Representative Plaintiff alleges as follows:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. This is a class action seeking unpaid regular and overtime wages, including unpaid compensation for meal and/or rest period violations, interest thereon, liquidated damages and other penalties, injunctive and other equitable relief, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under, *inter alia*, California Labor Code §§ 200-204, inclusive, 226, 226.7, 226.8, 510, 512, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1198, California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* and California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5. Plaintiff Jennifer Freeman ("Representative Plaintiff" or "Plaintiff") brings this action on behalf of herself and all other persons similarly

ENDORSED  
FILED  
San Francisco County Superior Court

JUN 14 2018

CLERK OF THE COURT  
BY: ROSSALY DE LA VEGA

Deputy Clerk

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACHOVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL: (510) 891-9800

FAXED COPY

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACHOVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL: (510) 891-9800

1 situated (“Class Members” and/or the “Plaintiff Class”) who are or have been employed by  
2 defendants The Mina Group, LLC, MG-101 Cal, LLC, and/or Does 1 through 100, inclusive  
3 (collectively “Defendant”) as non-exempt food service workers within the State of California  
4 within the applicable class period.

5 2. The class period is designated as the time from June 13, 2014 through trial, based  
6 upon the allegation that the violations of California’s wage and hour laws, as described more fully  
7 below, have been ongoing throughout that time.

8 3. During the class period, Defendant has had a consistent policy of (1) unlawfully  
9 denying Plaintiff and Class Members statutorily-mandated meal and rest periods, (2) willfully  
10 failing to provide Plaintiff and Class Members with accurate semimonthly itemized wage  
11 statements reflecting the total number of hours each worked, the applicable deductions, and the  
12 applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period, and (3) willfully failing to pay compensation  
13 in a prompt and timely manner to Plaintiff and those Class Members whose employment with  
14 Defendant has terminated.

15 4. Defendant operates a restaurant service within California for which Representative  
16 Plaintiff worked as a non-exempt food service worker. The Representative Plaintiff is informed  
17 and believes and, on that basis, alleges that, within the Class Period, Defendant employed hundreds  
18 of individuals in California in recent years to perform food service work, employment positions  
19 which did not, and currently do not, meet any known test for exemption from the payment of  
20 overtime wages and/or the entitlement to meal or rest periods.

21 5. Despite actual knowledge of these facts and legal mandates, Defendant has and  
22 continues to enjoy an advantage over its competition and a resultant disadvantage to its workers  
23 by electing not to pay all wages due (missed meal and rest period compensation) and/or all  
24 penalties dues (including “waiting time” penalties) to its California based non-exempt food service  
25 workers.

26 6. Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that  
27 Defendant’s officers knew of these facts and legal mandates yet, nonetheless, repeatedly  
28 authorized and/or ratified the violation of the laws cited herein.

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACHOVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL: (510) 892-9800

1 7. Despite Defendant's knowledge of Class Members' entitlement to meal and/or rest  
2 periods for all applicable work periods, Defendant failed to provide the same to Class Members,  
3 in violation of California state statutes, the applicable California Industrial Welfare Commission  
4 Wage Order, and Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations. This action is brought to redress  
5 and end this prolonged pattern of unlawful conduct once and for all.

#### 6 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7 8. This Court has jurisdiction over the Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members'  
8 claims for unpaid wages, expenses and/or penalties under, *inter alia*, the applicable Industrial  
9 Welfare Commission Wage Order, Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations, various sections  
10 of the California Labor Code, and/or the California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

11 9. This Court also has jurisdiction over the Representative Plaintiff's and Class  
12 Members' claims for injunctive relief and restitution of ill-gotten benefits arising from Defendant's  
13 unfair and/or fraudulent business practices under California Business & Professions Code § 17200,  
14 *et seq.*

15 10. Venue as to Defendant is proper in this judicial district pursuant to California Code  
16 of Civil Procedure § 395(a). Defendant provides food services within the County of San Francisco  
17 (where Plaintiff and numerous Class Members worked), transacts business, has agents, and is  
18 otherwise within this Court's jurisdiction for purposes of service of process. The unlawful acts  
19 alleged herein have and have had a direct effect on Representative Plaintiff and those similarly  
20 situated within the State of California and within the County of San Francisco.

#### 21 PLAINTIFF

22  
23 11. Representative Plaintiff Jennifer Freedman is a natural person who was employed  
24 by Defendant as a non-exempt food service worker during the Class Period.

25 12. In these capacities, Representative Plaintiff is and was entitled to full, uninterrupted  
26 and statutorily-mandated meal and rest periods, as well as other benefits of employment as set  
27 forth herein.

#### 28 DEFENDANT

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACHOVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL: (510) 891-9800

1           13.     Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that,  
2 at all times herein relevant, defendants The Mina Group, LLC, MG-101 Cal, LLC, and Does 1  
3 through 100, did business within the State of California as food service/eating establishments  
4 and/or providers of restaurant services.

5           14.     Those defendants identified as Does 1 through 100, inclusive, are and were, at all  
6 relevant times herein-mentioned, officers, directors, partners, and/or managing agents of some or  
7 each of the remaining defendants. Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that  
8 basis, alleges that at all relevant times herein mentioned, defendants The Mina Group, LLC, MG-  
9 101 Cal, LLC, and those identified as Does 1 through 100, inclusive, employed, and/or exercised  
10 control over the wages, hours, and/or working conditions of the Representative Plaintiff and Class  
11 Members within the State of California.

12           15.     Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that defendant MG-101  
13 Cal, LLC is the alter ego of defendant The Mina Group, LLC. Defendant The Mina Group, LLC  
14 is the sole manager of defendant MG-101 Cal, LLC, and both entities share the same physical  
15 address as well as have the same agent for service of process. Furthermore, Plaintiff is informed  
16 and believes and, on that basis, alleges that defendant The Mina Group, LLC controls the wages,  
17 hours, and/or working conditions of employees of defendant MG-101 Cal, LLC.

18           16.     The Representative Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities of those  
19 defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 100, inclusive and, therefore, sues these defendants by  
20 such fictitious names. The Representative Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this  
21 Complaint when such names are ascertained. The Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes  
22 and, on that basis, alleges that each of the fictitiously-named defendants was responsible in some  
23 manner for, gave consent to, ratified, and/or authorized the conduct herein alleged and that the  
24 Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' damages, as herein alleged, were proximately  
25 caused thereby.

26           17.     Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that, at  
27 all relevant times herein mentioned, each of the defendants was the agent and/or employee of each  
28

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACHOVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL: (510) 891-9600

1 of the remaining defendants and, in doing the acts herein alleged, was acting within the course and  
2 scope of such agency and/or employment.

### 3 4 CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

5 18. The Representative Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and as a class  
6 action on behalf of all persons similarly situated and proximately damaged by Defendant's conduct  
7 including, but not necessarily limited to, the following Plaintiff Class:

8 "All persons employed by Defendant as a non-exempt food service  
9 worker in California at any time on or after June 13, 2014."

10 19. Defendant's officers and directors are excluded from the Plaintiff Class.

11 20. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a class action  
12 under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 because there is a well-defined community of  
13 interest in the litigation and the proposed Class is easily ascertainable.

14 a. Numerosity: A class action is the only available method for the fair  
15 and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The members of the  
16 Plaintiff Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is  
17 impractical, if not impossible, insofar as Representative Plaintiff is  
18 informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that there are  
19 sufficient Class Members to meet the numerosity requirement.  
20 Membership in the Class will be determined upon analysis of  
21 employee and payroll, among other, records maintained by  
22 Defendant.

23 b. Commonality: The Representative Plaintiff and the Class Members  
24 share a community of interests in that there are numerous common  
25 questions and issues of fact and law which predominate over any  
26 questions and issues solely affecting individual members, including,  
27 but not necessarily limited to:

- 28 1) Whether Defendant violated California Business and Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.* by failing to provide meal and/or rest breaks to Class Members working eligible shifts;
- 2) Whether Defendant violated California Labor Code § 1174 by failing to keep accurate records of employees' hours of work;
- 3) Whether Defendant violated California Labor Code §§ 201-204 by failing to pay wages due and owing at the time that certain Class Members' employment with Defendant terminated;

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACHOVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL (510) 891-9800

1 4) Whether Defendant violated California Labor Code § 226 by  
2 failing to provide semimonthly itemized statements to Class  
Members of total hours worked by each and all applicable  
hourly rates in effect during the pay period; and

3 5) Whether Class Members are entitled to "waiting time"  
4 penalties, pursuant to California Labor Code § 203.

5 c. Typicality: The Representative Plaintiff's claims are typical of the  
6 claims of Class Members. The Representative Plaintiff and Class  
Members sustained damages arising out of and caused by  
7 Defendant's common course of conduct in violation of law, as  
alleged herein.

8 d. Adequacy of Representation: The Representative Plaintiff in this  
9 class action is an adequate representative of the Plaintiff Class in  
that the Representative Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the  
10 Plaintiff Class and the Representative Plaintiff has the same interest  
in the litigation of this case as the Class Members. The  
11 Representative Plaintiff is committed to vigorous prosecution of this  
case and has retained competent counsel who are experienced in  
12 conducting litigation of this nature. The Representative Plaintiff is  
not subject to any individual defenses unique from those  
13 conceivably applicable to Class Members as a whole. The  
Representative Plaintiff anticipates no management difficulties in  
14 this litigation.

15 e. Superiority of Class Action: Since the damages suffered by  
16 individual Class Members, while not inconsequential, may be  
relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation by  
17 each member makes or may make it impractical for Class Members  
to seek redress individually for the wrongful conduct alleged herein.  
Should separate actions be brought, or be required to be brought, by  
18 each individual Class Member, the resulting multiplicity of lawsuits  
would cause undue hardship and expense for the Court and the  
19 litigants. The prosecution of separate actions would also create a risk  
of inconsistent rulings which might be dispositive of the interests of  
20 other Class Members who are not parties to the adjudications and/or  
may substantially impede their ability to adequately protect their  
21 interests.

22 **COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

23 21. As described herein, for years, Defendant has knowingly failed to adequately  
24 compensate those employees within the class definition identified above for all wages earned  
25 (including premium wages such as compensation for missed meal and/or rest periods) under the  
26 California Labor Code and the applicable IWC Wage Order, thereby enjoying a significant  
27 competitive edge over other service providers.  
28

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACHOVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL: (510) 891-9800

1           22. Defendant has declined to pay these wages, even upon a Class Member's  
2 termination or resignation from employment, in blatant violation of California Labor Code § 201  
3 and/or § 202.

4           23. California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 require Defendant to pay severed employees  
5 all wages due and owed to the employee immediately upon discharge or within 72 hours of  
6 resignation of their positions, in most circumstances. California Labor Code § 203 provides that  
7 an employer who willfully fails to timely pay such wages must, as a penalty, continue to pay the  
8 subject employees' wages until the back wages are paid in full or an action is commenced, and the  
9 payment of such penalty shall continue for a period of time up to 30 days.

10           24. Furthermore, despite its knowledge of the Representative Plaintiff's and the Class  
11 Members' entitlement to compensation for all hours worked, Defendant violated California Labor  
12 Code § 1174(d) by failing to provide or require the use, maintenance, or submission of time records  
13 by members of the Plaintiff Class. Defendant also failed to provide the Representative Plaintiff  
14 and Class Members with accurate semimonthly itemized statements of the total number of hours  
15 worked by each, and all applicable hourly rates in effect, during the pay period, in violation of  
16 California Labor Code § 226. In failing to provide the required documents, Defendant has not only  
17 failed to pay its workers the full amount of compensation due but the company has also, until now,  
18 effectively shielded itself from its employees' scrutiny by concealing the magnitude and financial  
19 impact of its wrongdoing that such documents might otherwise have led workers to discover.

20           25. Representative Plaintiff and all persons similarly situated are entitled to unpaid  
21 compensation, yet, to date, have not received such compensation despite many of the same having  
22 been terminated by and/or resigned from Defendant's employ. More than 30 days have passed  
23 since certain Class Members have left Defendant's employ.

24           26. As a consequence of Defendant's willful conduct in not paying former employees  
25 compensation for all hours worked in a prompt and timely manner, certain Class Members are  
26 entitled to up to 30 days wages as a penalty under California Labor Code § 203, together with  
27 attorneys' fees and costs.

28

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACHEVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL: (510) 891-9800

1           27.    As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth  
2 herein, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have sustained damages, as described above,  
3 including compensation for loss of earnings for hours worked on behalf of Defendant, in an amount  
4 to be established at trial. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct,  
5 as set forth herein, certain Class Members are entitled to recover "waiting time" penalties (pursuant  
6 to California Labor Code § 203) and penalties for failure to provide semimonthly statements of  
7 hours worked and all applicable hourly rates (pursuant to California Labor Code § 226) in an  
8 amount to be established at trial. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful  
9 conduct, as set forth herein, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members are also entitled to recover  
10 costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, among other  
11 authorities.

12           28.    Representative Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from  
13 engaging in the complained-of illegal labor acts and practices in the future. Representative Plaintiff  
14 also seeks restitution of costs incurred by Representative Plaintiff and Class Members under  
15 California's Unfair Competition Law. Unless enjoined, Defendant's unlawful conduct will  
16 continue unchecked, while Representative Plaintiff and Class Members bear the financial brunt of  
17 Defendant's unlawful conduct. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful  
18 conduct, as set forth herein, Representative Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class are also entitled to  
19 recover costs and attorneys' fees, pursuant to statute.

20  
21                                   **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
22                                   **UNLAWFUL FAILURE TO PAY WAGES**  
23                                   **(California Labor Code §§ 200-204, 510, 558, 1194, and 1198; IWC Wage Order(s))**

24           29.    Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every allegation of the  
25 preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

26           30.    During the limitations period, Plaintiff performed work for Defendant. The number  
27 of hours will be proven at trial.  
28



SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACHOVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL: (510) 891-9800

1 31. During the limitations period, Defendant refused to compensate Plaintiff for all of  
2 the wages earned, in violation of the applicable IWC Wage Order(s) and provisions of the  
3 California Labor Code.

4 32. Defendant's conduct, as heretofore detailed, represents underpayment of wages  
5 pursuant to California Labor Code § 558 for which Plaintiffs seek damages and/or penalties  
6 according to proof.

7 33. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth  
8 herein, Plaintiff has sustained damages, including loss of earnings for hours worked on behalf of  
9 Defendant, in an amount to be established at trial. As a further direct and proximate  
10 result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth herein, Plaintiff is entitled to recover penalties  
11 in amounts to be established at trial, as well as attorneys' fees and costs, and restitution, pursuant  
12 to statute.

13  
14 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**  
15 **FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL AND REST PERIODS**  
16 **(California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512)**

17 34. Representative Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every  
18 allegation of the preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth  
19 herein.

20 35. At all relevant times, Defendant was aware of and was under a duty to comply with  
21 California Labor Code § 226.7 and §512.

22 36. California Labor Code § 226.7 provides:

23 (a) No employer shall require any employee to work during any  
24 meal or rest period mandated by an applicable order of the Industrial  
25 Welfare Commission.

26 (b) If an employer fails to provide an employee a meal period or  
27 rest period in accordance with an applicable order of the Industrial  
28 Welfare Commission, the employer shall pay the employee one  
additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of  
compensation for each work day that the meal or rest period is not  
provided.

37. Moreover, California Labor Code § 512(a) provides:

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACKOVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL: (510) 894-9800

1 An employer may not employ an employee for a work period of  
2 more than five hours per day without providing the employee with  
3 a meal period of not less than 30 minutes, except that if the total  
4 work period per day of the employee is no more than six hours, the  
5 meal period may be waived by mutual consent of both the employer  
6 and employee. An employer may not employ an employee for a  
7 work period of more than 10 hours per day without providing the  
8 employee with a second meal period of not less than 30 minutes,  
9 except that if the total hours worked is no more than 12 hours, the  
10 second meal period may be waived by mutual consent of the  
11 employer and the employee only if the first meal period was not  
12 waived.

13 38. Sections 11 and 12, respectively, of the applicable IWC Wage Order mandate that  
14 employers provide all applicable meal and/or rest periods to non-exempt (including exempt-  
15 misclassified) employees.

16 39. Section 11 of the applicable IWC Wage Order provides:

17 (A) No employer shall employ any person for a work period of more  
18 than five (5) hours without a meal period of not less than 30  
19 minutes...

20 (B) An employer may not employ an employee for a work period of  
21 more than ten (10) hours per day without providing the  
22 employee with a second meal period of not less than 30  
23 minutes...

24 (C) If an employer fails to provide an employee a meal period in  
25 accordance with the applicable provisions of this order, the  
26 employer shall pay the employee one (1) hour of pay at the  
27 employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that  
28 the meal period is not provided.

39. Moreover, Section 12 of the applicable IWC Wage Order provides:

(A) Every employer shall authorize and permit all employees to  
take rest periods, which insofar as practicable shall be in the middle  
of each work period. The authorized rest period time shall be based  
on the total hours worked daily at the rate of ten (10) minutes net  
rest time per four (4) hours or major fraction thereof ....

(B) If an employer fails to provide an employee a rest period in  
accordance with the applicable provisions of this order, the  
employer shall pay the employee one (1) hour of pay at the  
employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that the  
rest period is not provided.

41. By failing to consistently provide uninterrupted thirty-minute meal periods within  
the first five hours of work each day and/or uninterrupted net ten-minute rest periods to

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACHOVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL: (510) 891-9800

1 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant violated the California Labor Code and  
2 applicable IWC Wage Order provisions.

3 42. Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that  
4 Defendant has never paid the one hour of compensation to any Class Member due to its violations  
5 of the California Labor Code and applicable IWC Wage Order provisions.

6 43. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth  
7 herein, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have sustained damages, including lost  
8 compensation resulting from missed meal and/or rest periods, in an amount to be established at  
9 trial.

10 44. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set  
11 forth herein, certain Class Members are entitled to recover other penalties, in amounts to be  
12 established at trial, as well as recovery of attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to statute.

13  
14  
15 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**  
16 **FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE ITEMIZED WAGE STATEMENTS**  
17 **(California Labor Code §§ 226 and 1174)**

18 45. Representative Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every  
19 allegation of the preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth  
20 herein.

21 46. California Labor Code § 226(a) provides:

22 Each employer shall semimonthly, or at the time of each payment of  
23 wages, furnish each of his or her employees either as a detachable  
24 part of the check, draft or voucher paying the employee's wages, or  
25 separately when wages are paid by personal check or cash, an  
26 itemized wage statement in writing showing: (1) gross wages  
27 earned; (2) total number of hours worked by each employee whose  
28 compensation is based on an hourly wage; (3) all deductions,  
provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee  
may be aggregated and shown as one item; (4) net wages earned; (5)  
the inclusive date of the period for which the employee is paid; (6)  
the name of the employee and his or her social security number; and  
(7) the name and address of the legal entity which is the employer.

47. Moreover, California Labor Code § 226(e) provides:

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACHOVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL: (510) 897-9600

1 An employee suffering injury as a result of a knowing and  
2 intentional failure by an employer to comply with subdivision (a) is  
3 entitled to recover the greater of all actual damages or fifty dollars  
4 (\$50) for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs and one  
5 hundred dollars (\$100) per employee for each violation in a  
6 subsequent pay period, not exceeding an aggregate penalty of four  
7 thousand dollars (\$4,000), and is entitled to an award of costs and  
8 reasonable attorney's fees.

9  
10  
11 48. Finally, California Labor Code § 1174(d) provides:

12 Every person employing labor in this state shall. . . [k]eep, at a  
13 central location in the state...payroll records showing the hours  
14 worked daily by and the wages paid to...employees.... These records  
15 shall be kept in accordance with rules established for this purpose  
16 by the commission, but in any case shall be kept on file for not less  
17 than two years.

18  
19 49. Representative Plaintiff seeks to recover actual damages, costs, and attorneys' fees  
20 under these provisions on behalf of herself and on behalf of all Class Members.

21 50. Defendant has failed to provide timely, accurate itemized wage statements to the  
22 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members in accordance with California Labor Code § 226.  
23 Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that none of the  
24 statements provided by Defendant accurately reflected actual gross wages earned, net wages  
25 earned, or the appropriate deductions of such Class Members.

26 51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth  
27 herein, the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have sustained damages in an amount to  
28 be established at trial, and are entitled to recover attorneys' fees and costs of suit.

29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

**FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**FAILURE TO PAY WAGES ON TERMINATION**  
**(California Labor Code § 203)**

52. Representative Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every  
allegation of the preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth  
herein.

53. California Labor Code § 203 provides that:

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACHOVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL: (510) 891-9800

1 If an employer willfully fails to pay, without abatement or reduction, in  
2 accordance with Sections 201, 201.5, 202, and 205.5, any wages of an  
3 employee who is discharged or who quits, the wages of the employee shall  
4 continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until paid or  
5 until an action therefor is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for  
6 more than 30 days.

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
54. Numerous Class Members were employed by Defendant during the class period  
and were thereafter terminated or resigned from their positions, yet they were not paid all premium  
(overtime) wages due upon said termination or within 72 hours of said resignation of employment  
therefrom. Said non-payment was the direct and proximate result of a willful refusal to do so by  
Defendant.

55. More than 30 days have elapsed since certain Class Members were involuntarily  
terminated or voluntarily resigned from Defendant's employ.

56. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's willful conduct in failing to pay  
said Class Members for all hours worked, affected Class Members are entitled to recover "waiting  
time" penalties of up to thirty days' wages pursuant to California Labor Code § 203 in an amount  
to be established at trial, together with interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs.

**FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES UNDER THE UNFAIR COMPETITION ACT**  
**(California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200-17208)**

57. Representative Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every  
allegation of the preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth  
herein.

58. Representative Plaintiff further brings this cause of action seeking equitable and  
statutory relief to stop Defendant's misconduct, as complained of herein, and to seek restitution of  
the amounts Defendant acquired through the unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business practices  
described herein.

59. Defendant's knowing conduct, as alleged herein, constitutes an unlawful and/or  
fraudulent business practice, as set forth in California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200-

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC.  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACHOVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL: (510) 891-9800

1 17208. Specifically, Defendant conducted business activities while failing to comply with the legal  
2 mandates cited herein.

3 60. Defendant has clearly established a policy of accepting a certain amount of  
4 collateral damage, as represented by the damages to the Representative Plaintiff and to Class  
5 Members herein alleged, as incidental to its business operations, rather than accept the alternative  
6 costs of full compliance with fair, lawful, and honest business practices, ordinarily borne by its  
7 responsible competitors and as set forth in legislation and the judicial record.

8  
9  
10 **RELIEF SOUGHT**

11 **WHEREFORE, the Representative Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the proposed**  
12 **Plaintiff Class, prays for judgment and the following specific relief against defendants, and each**  
13 **of them, jointly and separately, as follows:**

14 1. That the Court declare, adjudge, and decree that this action is a proper class action  
15 and certify the proposed Class and/or any other appropriate subclasses under California Code of  
16 Civil Procedure § 382;

17 2. That the Court declare, adjudge, and decree that Defendant willfully violated its  
18 legal duties to pay all wages due under the California Labor Code and the applicable California  
19 Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders;

20 3. That the Court make an award to the Representative Plaintiff and the Class  
21 Members of one hour of pay at each employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday  
22 that a meal period was not provided;

23 4. That the Court make an award to the Representative Plaintiff and the Class  
24 Members of one hour of pay at each employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday  
25 that a rest period was not provided;

26 5. That the Court Order Defendant to pay restitution to the Representative Plaintiff  
27 and the Class Members due to Defendant's unlawful activities, pursuant to California Business  
28 and Professions Code §§ 17200-17208;

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
THE WACHOVIA TOWER  
1970 BROADWAY, NINTH FLOOR  
OAKLAND, CA 94612  
TEL: (510) 891-9800

- 1           6.     That the Court further enjoin Defendant, ordering it to cease and desist from  
2 unlawful activities in violation of California Business and Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.*  
3           7.     For all other Orders, findings and determinations identified and sought in this  
4 Complaint;  
5           8.     For interest on the amount of any and all economic losses, at the prevailing legal  
6 rate;  
7           9.     That the Court make an award to Plaintiffs of penalties, pursuant to California  
8 Labor Code §§ 203, 226, 558, and 1174.5, in an amount to be proven at trial;  
9           10.    For reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §  
10 1021.5; and  
11          11.    For costs of suit and any and all such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

**JURY DEMAND**

14           Representative Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Plaintiff Class, hereby demands a trial  
15 by jury.

17           Dated: June 14, 2018

**SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC**

19           By: 

Andrew Weaver, Esq.  
Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff  
and the Plaintiff Class