

Snooze HIC server files class action over allegations of unpaid wages

FILINGS

By Jenie Mallari-Torres | Aug 6, 2018

SAN DIEGO – A server employed by a restaurant owner alleges that it did not pay servers overtime, meal and/or rest period wages or waiting time wages.



SAN DIEGO – A server employed by a restaurant owner alleges that it did not pay servers overtime, meal and/or rest period wages or waiting time wages.

Trinity Amador-Stewart filed a complaint on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated on July 16 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California against Snooze HIC LLC and Does 1-100 alleging violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act.

According to the complaint, the plaintiff was employed by the defendant as a server at one of its San Diego restaurants. She alleges she was denied pay for all hours worked.

The plaintiff holds Snooze HIC LLC and Does 1-100 responsible because the defendants allegedly failed to provide class members with accurate semi-monthly itemized wage statements, failed to consistently provide uninterrupted 30-minute meal periods and/or 10-minute rest periods, failed to pay all wages

upon termination, and failed to compensate class members for overtime hours worked.

The plaintiff requests a trial by jury and seek judgment against defendant for damages, certify class action, award of civil penalties, restitution, accounting, interest, attorneys' fees, costs of suit, and other relief as the court deems just. She is represented by Scott Edward Cole and Andrew Daniel Weaver of Scott Cole & Associates APC in Concord and Daniel D. Bodell of Bodell Law Group in San Diego.

U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California case number 3:18-cv-01604